

Kruger v. Mokoll, 5 ROP Intrm. 121 (1995)
STEPHEN KRUGER,
Petitioner,

v.

MOSES MOKOLL, Senior Judge, Court of Common Pleas,
and NICHOLAS MANSFIELD, Attorney General,
Respondents.

SPECIAL PROCEEDING NO. 2-95

Supreme Court, Appellate Division
Republic of Palau

Order

Decided: June 14, 1995

BEFORE: JEFFREY L. BEATTIE, Associate Justice; LARRY W. MILLER, Associate Justice;
PETER T. HOFFMAN, Associate Justice

PER CURIAM:

Petitioner has filed a writ of prohibition seeking to bar the Court of Common Pleas from hearing the traffic citation charge brought against him. For a writ of prohibition to issue, a **1122** petitioner must clearly establish that a lower court is about to exercise judicial power in an unauthorized manner and that the exercise of such power will result in injury for which there is no other adequate remedy. *ROP v. Malsol*, 3 ROP Intrm. 48, 50-51 (1991). Since petitioner has failed to show how the Court of Common Pleas' exercise of judicial power will result in injury for which there is no other adequate remedy, his petition for writ of prohibition is DENIED. See 63 Am. Jur. 2d Prohibition § 8 (1972) ("[I]f there is a complete remedy by appeal . . . the writ [of prohibition] should be denied. This rule has been held applicable to criminal prosecutions.").